

State of Utah

Department of Natural Resources

ROBERT L. MORGAN Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

LOWELL P. BRAXTON
Division Director

OLENE S. WALKER
Governor

GAYLE F. McKEACHNIE
Lieutenant Governor

August 10, 2004

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7099 3400 0016 8896 0747

Mr. Eric York Moab Salt, LLC P. O. Box 1208 Moab, Utah 84532

Subject: Third Review of Notice of Intention to Commence Large Mining

Operations; Moab Salt, LLC; Cane Creek Mine; M/019/005, Grand County,

<u>Utah</u>

Dear Mr. York:

The Division has completed a review of your response to our June 4, 2004, review of the revisions to your mine plan. This response was received June 24, 2004. Only a few issues remain, and these relate primarily to submission of the maps. You did not send revised maps with the last submittal, so we will need to receive and check the maps before we can give final approval. Our comments on the latest revision are listed on the attached page under the applicable Minerals Rule heading.

We would like to finalize your plan and ask that you submit your response by September 13, 2004. If you have any questions about this review, please contact me at 801-538-5325, Doug Jensen at 801-538-5382, or Paul Baker at 801-538-5261. Thank you for your cooperation in completing this permitting action.

Sincerely,

Daron R. Haddock Permit Supervisor

Minerals Regulatory Program

DRH:PBB:jb Attachment: Review cc: Will Stokes, SITLA

O:\M019-Grand\M0190005-MoabSalt\final\3rdrev-08102004.doc

Utah!

Haddock

# THIRD REVIEW OF NOTICE OF INTENTION TO COMMENCE LARGE MINING OPERATIONS Moab Salt Company M/019/005

# R647-4-105 - Maps, Drawings & Photographs

### 105.2 Surface facilities map

Maps have been changed to reflect change made to the plan to date. Copies of these amended maps need to be reviewed before final approval can be issued. (DJ)

## R647-4-110 - Reclamation Plan

## 110.1 Current & post mining land use

In the previous review, the Division asked for justification for not reclaiming some of the roads. The operator responded with a statement that the surety has been adjusted to account for 5.8 acres of revegetation compared with 0.8 acres in the previous estimate. The response also says a revised map will be provided with the final plan. The Division assumes this means that most or all of the mine roads will be reclaimed, but until the map is received and can be reviewed, the Division cannot give final approval for this portion of the mine plan. (PBB)

### 110.2 Roads, highwalls, slopes, drainages, pits, etc., reclaimed

The Division commented that the berm fill material will not provide sufficient growth medium to cover a 90-acre area with three feet of material and asked where this material would come from and what type of material it is. Moab Salt responded that the material to be used is similar to what is in the berms and that it would come from adjacent areas. Specifically which adjacent areas would supply the material? How many acres will be disturbed assuming the yardage estimate is correct? (PBB)

O:\M019-Grand\M0190005-MoabSalt\final\3rdrev-08102004.doc